Fine Dust Management in Korea

Yongsung Cho Korea University yscho@korea.a.c.kr

Annual average of Fine Dust concentration (2015)



Source: National Institute of Environmental Research (2016), Annual report of air quality in Korea 2015.

PM₁₀ concentration trend of major cities in Korea



Comparison with major cities

Source: WHO, Global Urban Ambient Air Pollution Database, 2016.

Changes in environmental standards in Korea

unit:	µ⁄g/m³	1983	1991	1993	2001	2007	2015	WHO
TSP	Year (day)	150 (300)	150 (300)	150 (300)	Delete			
PM ₁₀	Year (day)			80 (150)	70 (150)	50 (100)	50 (100)	20
PM _{2.5}	Year (day)						25 (50)	10 (25)

Comparison of $PM_{2.5}$ standards between cities

unit: µg/m³	Korea	USA	Japan	EU	Australia	China
Daily average	50	35	35	-	25	75
Annual average	25	15	15	25	8	35
Year of introduction	2015	2006	2009	2010	2005	2012

TSP & PM₁₀ emission trends from 1999 to 2013

Source: National Air Pollutant Emission Service Database (http://airemiss.nier.go.kr)

TSP & PM₁₀ emission by source categories in 1999

TSP & PM₁₀ emission by source categories in 2013

Characteristics of $PM_{2.5}$ emissions (2013)

[Seoul metropolitan area]

- The share of PM_{10} emissions of power sector is 11% nationwide & 14% in the metropolitan area.
- Annual Air Pollutant Emissions by Industry (2015)

			TSP	SOx	NOx	Main
	Power	Emissions (ton)	3,848	76,986	13,2678	sources of
	Generation	(%)	49.5	64.9	48.3	indirect
	Primary	Emissions (ton)	1,768	22,042	31,291	(secondary)
	Metal	(%)	22.8	18.6	11.4	emissions
	Cement /	Emissions (ton)	1,203	-	72,960	of $PM_{2.5}$
	Lime	(%)	15.5	-	26.6	
	Petroleum	Emissions (ton)	340	11,515	16,761	
	refining	(%)	4.4	9.7	6.1	
	Others	Emissions (ton)	619	8048	20,833	
		(%)	8.0	6.8	7.6	Note that it is based on
	TOTAL	Emissions (ton)	7,778	118,591	274,523	the representative industry with TMS.
						•

Policy options to reduce $PM_{2.5}$ directly and/or indirectly :

Power sector & Renewable energy

1. Policy measures against Fine Dust in Coal Power Plants

- Shutdown aging coal-fired thermal power plants (10 plants)
- Retrofits
- Stronger emission standards for new coal power plants
 - 4 of planned & 5 of under construction coal power plants
- Adjustment of operation priority by source
 - Economic Dispatch **→** Environmental Dispatch

1-1. Shutdown 10 aging coal-fired thermal power plants

- plans to shut 10 ageing coal-fired power plants (<u>3,345MW</u>) by 2025
 - of the 10 to be shut, 2 will switch to **biomass** from coal in 2017
 - among the 43 coal power plants, 8 that are more than 20-years old will be retrofitted
 - while the rest, operational for under 20 years, will get expanded emission-reduction facilities
 - but, build **20 new coal-fired plants** by 2022 as planned (<u>18,144MW</u>)

YeongHeung Coal-fired Power Plants

	unit		Plant #2			Plant #6		
	unn	SOx	NOx	TSP	SOx	NOx	TSP	
Emissions [A]	Ton	1,475	1,062	111	521	564	39	
Capacity	MW	800			870			
Year of installation		2004. 07		2014. 11				
Volume of electricity sales [B]	GWh	5,783			6,582			
Emissions per electricity sales volume [A]/[B]	Ton/GWh		0.46			0.17		

Emissions of Air Pollutants by Power generation Fuel (2015)

	Unit	Coal	Gas	Oil	Total
Power generation	CWh	201,070	106,503	9,394	316,967
[A]	Gwii	(63.4%)	(33.6%)	(3.0%)	(100%)
Air pollutant emissions		183,027	25,208	12,073	220,308
[B]		(83.1%)	(11.4%)	(5.5%)	(100%)
- TSP [C]	Ton	3,702	369	115	4,186
- SOx		71,618	327	5,054	76,999
- NOx		107,706	24,513	6,904	139,123
[B] / [A]		0.91	0.24	1.29	
[C] / [A]	ION/GWN	0.0184	0.0035	0.0122	

1-2. Adjustment of operation priority

- Priority is given to a generator with low emission per generation rather than costs
 - Economic Dispatch (經濟急電) → Environmental Dispatch (環境急電)

Example : Economic Dispatch **>** Environmental Dispatch

• Assumption

- Period : 2016.02 2016.05
- Total Power generation (100,780 GWh) is constant
- Unit price (or cost) is constant
- Environmental dispatch scenario
 - Oil power plants \rightarrow gas power plants
 - Changing coal-fired power generation and gas-fired power generation

Example : Economic Dispatch **>** Environmental Dispatch

		Unit	Coal	Gas	Oil	Total		
Unit	price [A]	KRW/kWh	73.0	99.7	100.7			
Social co	st of TSP [B]	1000 KRW/ton		68,949				
Unit emission of TSP per power generation		Ton/GWh	0.0184 0.0035		0.0122			
Power generation	BAU	GWh	63,377	32,033	5,370	100,780		
	Scenario		32,033	68,747	0	100,780		
	Difference [C]		- 31,344	+ 36,714	- 5,370	0		
	BAU		1,166.1	112.1	65.5	1,343.8		
TSP	Scenario	Ton	589.4	240.6	0.0	830.0		
emissions	Difference [D]		-576.7	128.5	-65.5	-513.7		
[A	\]x[C]		- 2,288,112	+ 3,660,386	- 540,759	+ 831,515		
[B	B]x[D]	Million KRW	+ 39,763	- 8,860	+ 4,516	+ 35,419		
T	OTAL		- 2,288,112	+ 3,651,526	- 536,243	+ 866,934		

		Coal	Oil	Gas	Total
unit price/cost (KRW/kWh) [a]		73.0	100.7	99.7	
power generation (GWh) [b]	BAU	63,377.0	5,370.0	32,033.0	100,780.0
	Scenario	32,033.0	-	68,747.0	100,780.0
	difference	- 31,344.0	- 5,370.0	36,714.0	-
change of costs (million KRW)	[a]x[b]	- 2,288,112.0	- 540,759.0	3,660,385.8	831,514.8

		Coal	Oil	Gas	Total
	Sox	0.3562	0.538	0.0031	
Coefficient of emission (ton/GWh)	Nox	0.5357	0.7349	0.2302	
	TSP	0.0184	0.0122	0.0035	
	Sox	- 11,164.73	- 2,889.06	113.81	- 13,939.98
Emissions (ton)	Nox	- 16,790.98	- 3,946.41	8,451.56	- 12,285.83
	TSP	- 576.73	- 65.51	128.50	- 513.74
	Sox	57.96			- 807,961.21
Social costs (million KRW/ton)	Nox	4.85			- 59,586.28
	TSP	301.94			- 155,120.04
Total (million KRW)					- 1,022,667.53
Net benefits (million KRW)					- 191,152.73

Estimation of social costs due to the substitution of new coal-fired power plants

- Estimate the **initial investment costs**, **annual operating & management costs**, and **environmental (external) costs** if 20 coal-fired power plants are built as scheduled
- Comparing and analyzing the difference in costs when **replacing** some or all of the new coal-fired power plants with LNG combined power generation, solar power generation or wind power generation.

COSTS

- Initial investment costs (construction costs)
- Annual O&M costs including fuel costs
- Environmental (external) costs
 - Air pollutants : NOx, SOx, PM10, CO2

Estimation results

• The estimated total costs will be <u>265 trillion KWR</u> if new 20 coal-fired power plants are built on schedule and operate at a 90.7% operation rate by 2035.

(Unit: 100 billion KWR)

Initial Investment	Variable Costs	Environmental Costs	Total Costs
180.4	1,271.6	1,200.5	2,652.5
7%	48%	45%	100%

Scenario Analysis

- Comparing the difference in costs when **replacing** some or all of the new coal-fired power plants with LNG combined power generation, solar power generation or wind power generation.
- 8 scenarios

Veer	Coal-fired	plant				Scen	ario			
Year	Name	Capacity	Ι	Ш	Ш	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
2015	Dangjin#9	1020								
2016	Dangjin#10 Samcheck Green#1 Samcheck Green#2 Bukpyeong#1 Bukpyeong#2 Taean#9 Taean#10 Shin Boryeong#1 Yeosu#1	1020 1022 1022 595 595 1050 1050 1000 350	LNG- fired	LNG- fired power plants	LNG- fired power plants	LNG- fired power plants	LNG- fired power plants	Coal- fired power plant	Coal- fired power plant	Coal- fired power plant
2017	Shin Boryeong#2	1000	power							
2019	Shin Seocheon#1 Gangneung A.#1	1000 1040	plants				DV		Wind- Land	DV/
2020	Gangneung A.#2 Goseong Hai#1	1040 1040			Wind	Wind	ΓV	PV		ΓV
2021	Goseong Hai#2 Samcheck Th.#1 Samcheck Th.#2 Dangjin Echo#1 Dangjin Echo#2	1040 1050 1050 580 580		PV	Land	ocean	Wind- Land			Wind- Land

Results by Scenarios

(Unit: million KWR)

Scenario	Initial investment	Variable costs	Environmental costs	Total costs
BAU	180,427.4	1,271,571.2	1,200,463.1	2,652,461.7
Ι	104,459.7	3,245,827.3	123,206.7	3,473,493.8
П	321,027.9	1,936,180.7	73,422.7	2,330,631.3
Ш	290,042.3	2,066,169.5	73,422.7	2,429,634.6
IV	551,062.3	2,118,921.5	73,422.7	2,743,406.6
V	305,203.9	1,999,184.1	73,422.7	2,377,810.7
VI	362,938.4	759,660.0	715,393.3	1,837,991.7
VII	331,952.8	889,648.9	715,393.3	1,936,994.9
VIII	347,114.4	822,663.4	715,393.3	1,885,171.1

2. Role of Renewable Energy

Energy Consumptions by Sectors (unit: MTOE)

Sector	2000		2010	2015		Annual growth rate (%)
Industry	83.9	56.0	116.9	136.7	62.5	3.3
Transportation	30.9	20.6	36.9	40.3	18.4	1.8
Households & commercial	32.4	21.6	37.3	36.4	16.7	0.8
Other	2.6	1.7	4.5	5.2	2.4	4.7
Total	149.9	100	195.6	218.6	100	2.5

Prospect of total primary energy & final energy (BAU)

Primary energy	2011	2035	Annual growth rate	Final energy	2011	2035	Annual growth rate
Coal	86.6 (30.3)	112.4 (29.7)	1.24%	Coal	33.5 (16.3)	38.6 (15.2)	0.58%
Petroleum	105.1 (38.1)	101.5 (26.9)	-0.15%	Petroleum	102.0 (49.5)	99.3 (39.1)	-0.11%
LNG	46.3 (16.8)	73.3 (19.4)	1.93%	City gas	23.7 (11.5)	35.3 (13.9)	1.68%
Hydro	1.7 (0.6)	2.0 (0.5)	0.70%	Electricity	39.1 (19.0)	70.2 (27.6)	2.47%
Nuclear	32.3 (11.7)	70.0 (18.5)	3.28%	Heat	1.7 (0.8)	3.3 (1.3)	2.82%
Renewables /other	6.6 (2.4)	18.8 (5.0)	4.44%	Renewables	5.8 (2.8)	7.4 (2.9)	1.01%
Total	275.7 (100)	377.9 (100)	1.32%	Total	205.9 (100)	254.1 (100)	0.88%

2nd Master Plan for National Energy

unit: MTOE

Prospect of Energy Demand by Sectors in 2035

unit: MTOE

Implications

• Fine dust (TSP, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}), and SOx, NOx, Carbon dioxide emissions will continue to grow.

20,000	Accumulation of GHG Emissions, KOREA				
18,000		600			
14,000	Facts of Korea's energy consumption 522.2	500			
12,000	 97% of energy is imported from abroad Energy import account for 29% of Korea's total import volume 	400			
10,000	Emissions by fuel:	200			
8,000	Korea ranked No.7 in the world for GHG \rightarrow Coal 49%, Oil 33%, Natural gas 16%, other 2% emissions & No. 6 for per capita GHG	300			
6,000	emissions at 12.6 tCO2 per person in 2011. Emissions by sector: → electricity & heat generation 50%,	200			
4,000	 → manufacturing industry & construction 18%, → transport 15%, households 6%, services & other 	100			
2,000	11%				
0	1960 1961 1962 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1971 1971 1971 1972 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976	0			

CO2 emission per capita

Energy Efficiency

CO2 emission per capita vs Energy efficiency

Renewable energy proportion in primary energy (unit: %, 2013)

Solar PV (all technologies)

Onshore wind

Figure ES.3: EGC 2010 and EGC 2015 LCOE ranges for baseload technologies (at 10% discount rate)

* EGC 2010 results have been converted to USD 2013 values for comparison.

Source: IEA/NEA, Projected costs of generating electricity, 2015 (p.18)

New Renewable Energy Plan 3020

- 11% of Renewable energy in primary energy
 - Change of target year : $2035 \rightarrow 2025$
- 20% of renewable energy in 2030
 - Based on electricity capacity
 - Portion of PV & wind power increased 72% (2016.11)

Energy Mix	Nuclear	Coal	Renewable	LNG
2018	32%	38%	8%	20%
2022	28%	32%	11%	28%
2030	18%	27%	20%	33%

Forecasting of Renewable Energy Capacity (unit: GW)

Mix of Renewable Energy Source (MW)

	2016	2018	2022	2030
PV	4.5	7.5	15.3	37.0
	(29.4%)	(39.0%)	(51.0%)	(54.6%)
Wind	1.0	1.4	3.9	18.3
	(6.5%)	(7.3%)	(13.0%)	(27.0%)
Other	9.8	10.3	10.8	12.4
	(64.1%)	(53.7%)	(36.0%)	(18.4%)
Total	15.3	19.2	30.0	67.7
	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)

2012 2014 2016 2018 2020 2022 2024 2026 2028 2030

Strategies

- Prosumer
- Green Pricing
- Renewable SPC
- RPS

Barriers

- Social acceptability
- NIMBY

Thank you yscho@korea.ac.kr